UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/561,181	05/22/2006	Lennart Christensson	0104-0542PUS1	1971	
	7590 04/09/201 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER			
PO BOX 747		REDMAN, JERRY E			
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3634		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/09/2010	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Office Astion Communication		Applicat	ion No.	. Applicant(s)		
		10/561,1	81	CHRISTENSSON ET AL.		
Office Action Summary			r	Art Unit		
		Jerry Red	lman	3634		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	ion appears on th	e cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	ddress	
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF TI 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no eration. ry period will apply and v by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION vent, however, may a reply be tinuity vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•	
Status						
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed o					
′=	'-	This action is i				
3)	- ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '					
	closed in accordance with the practice u	under <i>Ex parte</i> Q	uayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 15</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are version claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 15</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from co				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)🖾	The specification is objected to by the Extra The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 December 20</u> Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	009 is/are: a) ☐ and to the drawing(s) ecorrection is requi	be held in abeyance. Se red if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F	ate		
Pape						

Art Unit: 3634

The status of the claims is as follows:

Claims 9-14 and 16-42 have been cancelled; and

Claims 1-8 and 15 are herein addressed below.

The drawings dated 12/24/2009 are not approved by the Examiner

The drawings are objected to because Figures 5-12 are not clearly understood. The lines are not clear, clean, or crisp. The images within the drawings cannot be deciphered to the point of what the applicant is trying to show. There are no labels or lead lines to readily understand the drawings. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance. *Thus, the replacement drawings dated 12/24/2009 are NOT APPROVED.*

Claims 1-8 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claims 1, lines 14-15, and claim 15, line 10, the phraseology "exceptional situations" and "normal operation" is not readily understood by the Examiner. Specifically, what is "exceptional" and what is "normal"?

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-8 and 15 are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Duhame et al. (5,541,585).

Claims 1-8 and 15 are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Spinelli (7,042,492).

Art Unit: 3634

The applicant's arguments have been considered but are not deemed persuasive. The applicant has failed to provide specific argument exactly how each rejection above differs from that of the applicant's claimed invention. An event generator is nothing more than a controller and/or a controller having a microprocessor which controls (via images and stored images) the opening and un-opening of a door closer device. The amendments dated 12/24/2009 have failed to provide further clarity or limit to the claims.

Still furthermore (as stated in the last office action), it should also be noted that the security/sensor system of the *United States Patent and Trademark Office* works exactly like that of the applicant's claimed invention.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/561,181 Page 5

Art Unit: 3634

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jerry Redman whose telephone number is 571-272-

6835. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH from 8 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ms. Mitchell, can be reached on 571-272-7069. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jerry Redman Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

/Jerry Redman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3634